

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

APPLICATIONS UNDER VARIOUS ACTS / REGULATIONS – SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. Application Number: 22/00101/FUL

Address: Wellbilt, Provincial Park, Nether Lane, Sheffield, S35 9ZX

Condition No. 2 lists all the drawings that the development should be carried out in accordance with and includes Drawing No. AL(9) 101 Revision A (Proposed Landscape Plan). This drawing should be omitted from this condition on account of late comments being received from Network Rail who have commented that the planting of replacement trees adjacent to the railway line could affect its safety and operation needs. A revised landscaping plan for the site will therefore need to be submitted for subsequent approval, which is covered by suggested Condition No. 16 .

2. Application Number: 22/02416/FUL

Address: Land Opposite Holme Head Wheel Dam, Rivelin Valley Road, Sheffield S6 5SF.

Additional Representations:

2 further letters of support and 1 further letter of objection on behalf of the Rivelin Valley Conservation Group, have been received following the publication of the Committee Report.

The points raised in the letter of support reinforce those summarised in the main published report.

Objection comments:

- The scheme conflicts with Green Belt and Landscape Policies(GE2, GE4 and GE8).
- R.V.C.G. seeks to conserve and enhance the Rivelin Valley Green Belt and high quality landscape, the scheme would undermine this objective , particularly the fencing materials proposed to secure the site and other paraphernalia for the users of the Site.
- Concern that it would be difficult to enforce 1 dog and owner on site at a time and also the amount of vehicles on site if the venture were to prove popular.
- Concern is raised that there is a sizeable area within the redline and in the future extension of the dog area could be sought, exacerbating the harm identified.

Amendment to Reason 1 for Refusal:

Refusal Reason 1 (Page 69) to be amended as a result of a drafting error to read:

The Local Planning Authority consider that the proposed development, by reason of the introduction of fencing, general paraphernalia, parking and activities associated with the proposed use, would not preserve the openness of the Green Belt. The proposal does not therefore meet the exceptions in National Planning Policy Framework Paragraphs 149 to 150 and as such comprises inappropriate development in the Green Belt. There are no very special circumstances that clearly outweigh the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness or any other harm resulting from the proposal. The development is therefore contrary to policies GE1 to GE4 of the Unitary Development Plan and Paragraphs 147, 148, 149(b), 150 (e) of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Drafting Error:

Members are advised that the closing paragraph on page 81 of the committee report should read as paragraph 149b not c.

3. Application Number:

Address:

4. Application Number:

Address:

5. Application Number:

Address: